

Recent Progress in the Development of a Carbon Management Strategy for Germany

Status Quo and Remaining Challenges

Project Coordinator CCS Forum

SCCS Annual Conference 2024

03/12/2024

03/12/2024

Fabian Liss

Bellona Deutschland



Agenda

- 1. About Bellona Germany
- 2. A Brief History of CCS in Germany
- 3. Recent Progress in Germany's Carbon Management Strategy
- 4. Remaining Challenges





1. About Bellona Germany



03/12/2024

About Bellona

- International, independent climate and environmental protection NGO
- Founded in 1986 in Oslo
- In Brussels since 1994 with a focus on EU policy
- Bellona Germany in Berlin since
 2021 to promote industrial
 transformation













Focus Areas

03/12/2024

Hydrogen

Green Lead Markets

Green cement

Green steel



3. A Brief History of CCS in Germany



A Brief History of CCS in Germany



Old poster of a German citizens' initiative; "Endlager" is a term exclusively used for the permanent storage of nuclear waste; source: Bürgerinitiative gegen CO2-Endlager e.V.; 19/11/2024 https://keinco2endlager.de/wpcontent/uploads/2015/06/newsletter_logo.jpg

- Historical burden: Discussion about CCS as an option for extending coal-fired power generation in the OOs; connection to extending the use of fossil fuels
- Safety concerns
- Very low rate of public acceptance; well organized citizens' initiatives \rightarrow quick death of CCS Political consensus at the time: No CCS in Germany
- 2012: KSpG initially only enabled trial and test applications
- Unviability of the previous (political) consensus in view of the goal of climate neutrality by 2045
- New initiative: strategic focus for the use of CCS on hard- or impossible-to-abate emissions





A Brief History of CCS in Germany – The Restart



Federal Minister of Economic Affairs and Climate Protection Dr. Robert Habeck (Alliance 90/The Greens) presenting the Key Points Paper on the Carbon Management Strategy (February 2024); source: Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Klimaschutz 26/02/2024 (https://youtu.be/KBHGv4zspA?si=fAzPytGWG9Wiqi17

- New **focus**: Industry CCS \rightarrow hard to abate industries
- Climate value + value creation
- Extensive stakeholder process with participation of environmental NGOs, Think Tanks as well as industry representatives
- **Results:**
 - Key Points Paper in February 2024; second iteration in May 2024
 - New KSp(T)G CO2-Transport and -Storage Law
 - Parliamentary process of the KSp(T)G: Lively debate in the German parliament; less heated than in the past





4. Recent Progress in Germany's Carbon Management Strategy



Features of the CMS / KSpTG – Overview

CCS/CCU is to be made possible in future by means of multimodal transport (preference for pipeline-based transport) and offshore storage in narrowly defined marine areas.

- Objective of the new KSpTG / CMS: Enable the development of CO2 infrastructure for CCU/S → climate infrastructure
- Focus areas mentioned: cement, lime, waste incineration, parts of the chemical industry (HVCs)
 → industry emissions
- No longer limited to testing and demonstration →
 scaling up quickly
- Enabling the export of CO2 via pipelines and to offshore storage sites & transit of CO2 across
 German territory → transboundary connections
- key decision private build-up of pipeline network
 → limiting public subsidies



Features of the CMS / KSpTG – Overview

CCS/CCU is to be made possible in future by means of multimodal transport (preference for pipeline-based transport) and offshore storage in narrowly defined marine areas.

- Expansion of storage capacity (i.e. injection capacity) is considered a bottleneck; law enables storage development "within" Germany (EEZ); onshore opt-in possible for federal states (Länder)
 → technology sovereignty; responsibility
- Harmonisation of the regulatory/authorisation framework; equal treatment of pipelines for CCU and CCS; now all regulated in the extended KSpTG
 → one-stop shop law
- Allowed: all CO2 quantities that do not originate from domestic coal-fired power plants (incl. gasfired CHP, process heat and CHP) → "technology openness"



Features of the CMS / KSpTG – Funding

Technology neutral transition with funding concentrated on applications with the highest climate value.

- Power generation facilities using gaseous fuels or biomass: application of CCS/CCU will continue to be legally possible with a view to a technology-neutral transition to a climate-neutral electricity system; but: no funding – no business case (?)
- Federal funding for industry and climate protection
 (BIK) [small and medium-sized companies]:
 - Admission criteria: Sectors with predominantly unavoidable CO2
 emissions
 - Cover the entire process chain from capture to utilisation or storage
- **CCfDs** [large companies] [unsure if program will be continued under a new government (!)]:
 - Second round with CCU/S
 - Pending due to collapse of traffic lights coalition





Features of the CMS / KSpTG – Funding

Technology neutral transition with funding concentrated on applications with the highest climate value.

Funding for:

- Sectors or facilities with predominantly unavoidable emissions → cement plants with clinker production and lime kilns; thermal waste treatment plants (only BIK); other Industrial plants whose emissions have been reduced by other measures...
- Sectors or installations with predominantly hard
 to abate emissions → steamcrackers
- Other sectors or installations with a predominant share of emissions that are hard to abate (BIK) →
 e.g. glass / ceramics, especially in R&D settings



Challenges

KSpTG parliamentary process stopped. CMS release pending.

- Some **environmental NGOs** are concerned because they think the already overused German North Sea is not suitable to storing CO2; "CCS on gas" remains an important issue → *trust erosion*
- Current public opinion on CCS is difficult to assess \rightarrow fragile status of the whole project
- significant number of sceptics in the Green Party and SPD \rightarrow no consensus
- **Collapse of Germany's traffic lights coalition** (SPD Social Democrats, B90/Grüne – Greens, FDP – (Right Wing) Liberals); now: minority government; CDU/CSU (Conservatives) will almost certainly not cooperate on CMS/KSpTG
 - The principle of discontinuity means that everything discussed in this legislature is obsolete; elections on 23 February 2025 \rightarrow unclear directions









Challenges

KSpTG parliamentary process stopped. CMS release pending.

- But: CDU/CSU (currently leading the polls) are more enthusiastic about CCS/CCU
 - Less willingness to pay for it: dislike for CCfDs (vacuum: What other instruments to fund CCS?)
 - **Scale it up**: biomass (questionable sustainability)
 - More focus on **CCU** (questionable climate value)
- CDU/CSU-led government will probably come up with their own CMS / KSpTG perhaps in summer (2025)
- Most likely effect of government collapse: unnecessary **delay** of at least 6 months
- \rightarrow Nobody wants to take the rap for CCS.





CO2 Storage – A few dilemmas and trade-offs

Marine spatial planning: <u>BSH - Meeresraumplanung</u>



<u>BSH 2021</u>)

BUNDESAMT FÜ SEESCHIFFFAH UND HYDROGRAPHIE Dänemark Flensburg Schleswig Schleswig-Holstein Cuxhaven

Abb. 5: Raumordnungsplan für die deutsche Ausschließliche Wirtschaftszone (2021) – Kartendarstellung, Teil Nordsee (Quelle:

Externe Datenquellen: BKG Koordinatenoystem: ETRS89 L/ Planungsmailistab: 1:400.000 BSH / O1 - 1. September 2021

clometer

Niedersachsen

Emden

Niederlande





Potential storage formations



Potentielle Speicherformationen in der Deutschen AWZ (BGR, 2024)



5. Recommendations and Key Asks



Recommendations and Key Asks for the Ongoing Political Process

- 1. Clear rules for environmentally compatible land management and ways of compensating for adverse effects needed (marine spatial planning)
- 2. Clear rules for CCS use cases and reflection of the systemic conditions of these application scenarios, including the availability of alternatives; make sure alternative solutions are funded
- 3. Concrete governance solutions must be developed for complex economic problems; state has an important coordinating role to play in preparing the market
- 4. Meaningful links to the negative emissions strategy and circular economy strategy
- 5. Funding: If you don't like CCfDs, you have to come up with something else! There will be no CCS just based on ETS costs in the foreseeable future.













- 1. targeted CCS applications
- 2. The devil is in the detail: The future of climate-positive CCS will be determined by the funding scheme and accompanying governance mechanisms
- Keep it **simple** and try to build a **societal consensus**! 3.
- Rapid **ramp-up of CCS is crucial for climate protection** (Hierarchy ≠ Chronology) 4.

Summary

The early-stage regulatory framework shows the government's understanding of





Further Reading

- Bellona / E3G Carbon Capture and Storage Ladder: https://bellona.org/news/industry/2023-07-carbon-capture-andstorage-ladder-assessing-the-climate-value-of-ccs-applications-ineurope
- Discussion paper on the status of the CCS discourse in Germany (in German): https://de.bellona.org/publication/die-rolle-von-ccs-als-ein- baustein-fuer-den-klimaschutz-in-deutschland/
- Introductory **Conflation of Terms** paper in the field of "Carbon Capture Technologies": <u>https://eu.bellona.org/publication/clearing-</u> conflations-on-carbon-capture-terminologies/
- Detailed statement by Bellona Germany on the key points of the CMS **/ draft KSp(T)G** (in German): https://de.bellona.org/publication/verbaendeanhoerung-cms/
- The challenges of "first-mover projects" in the CCS sector : First foot forward: The importance of CCS first-mover projects for accelerating the industrial green transition - Bellona EU
- Upcoming: Discussion paper on concerns about the impact of CCS on the transformation of industry + extended commentary on the Carbon Management Strategy (in German)





03/12/2024

Thank you!



Fabian Liss *Project Coordinator CCS Forum* <u>fabian@bellona.org</u>